IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA ASHEVILLE DIVISION CIVIL CASE NO. 1:16-CV-00205-MR

CRIMINAL CASE NO. 1:08-CR-00128-MR-DLH-3

TERRANCE DEANDREW BACKUS,)
Petitioner,))
vs.	ORDER
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Respondent.)))

THIS MATTER is before the Court on the motion of the United States requesting that the Court enter an order holding this action in abeyance. [CV Doc. 6].¹ According to the government's motion, defense counsel does not object to its request. [Id.].

Petitioner was convicted by plea of conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute cocaine base, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 846. [CR Doc. 406]. The presentence report noted that Petitioner had at least two prior qualifying convictions that triggered the Career Offender enhancement

¹ Citations to the record herein contain the relevant document number referenced preceded by either the letters "CV" denoting the document is listed on the docket in the civil case file number 1:16-cv-00205-MR, or the letters "CR" denoting the document is listed on the docket in the criminal case file number 1:08-cr-00128-MR-DLH-3.

under section 4B1.2 of the Sentencing Guidelines, including a 1994 North Carolina conviction for voluntary manslaughter, a 1994 North Carolina conviction for trafficking in cocaine, and a 1993 North Carolina conviction for assault on a government officer with aggravated physical force. The Court sentenced Petitioner as a Career Offender to a term of imprisonment of 262 months. [Id.].

On June 23, 2016, Petitioner commenced this action by filing a petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. [CV Doc. 1]. In his petition, Petitioner contends that, in light of <u>Johnson v. United States</u>, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015), his prior North Carolina convictions for assault on a government officer with aggravated physical force and voluntary manslaughter no longer qualify as a "crime of violence" under the Guidelines. [Id. at 3-4]. Consequently, Petitioner argues his Career Offender designation is improper and thus his sentence is unlawful. [Id.].

In response to the petition, the government has filed a motion to hold this proceeding in abeyance. [CV Doc. 6]. The government notes that this case will be affected by the Supreme Court's decision next Term in <u>Beckles v. United States</u>, 616 Fed. Appx. 415 (11th Cir.), <u>cert. granted</u>, 2016 WL 1029080 (U.S. June 27, 2016) (No. 15-8544). [<u>Id.</u> at 2]. One of the questions presented in <u>Beckles</u> is whether <u>Johnson</u> applies retroactively to cases

collaterally challenging federal sentences enhanced under the residual clause in U.S.S.G. § 4B1.2(a)(2). The residual clause invalidated in <u>Johnson</u> is identical to the residual clause in the Career Offender provision of the Guidelines, § 4B1.2(a)(2) (defining "crime of violence").

Based upon the reasons given by the government, and without objection by Petitioner, the Court concludes that the government's motion should be granted.

ORDER

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the government's motion to place this case in abeyance [CV Doc. 6], is hereby **GRANTED** and this matter is hereby held in abeyance pending the Supreme Court's decision in <u>Beckles</u>. Thereafter, the government shall have 60 days from the date the Supreme Court decides <u>Beckles</u> within which to file its response in this matter.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed: September 12, 2016

Martin Reidinger
United States District Judge